

Exactly Solvable Matrix Models for the Dynamical Generation of Space-Time in Superstring Theory

Jun Nishimura*

The Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark
(preprint NBI-HE-01-09, hep-th/0108070; February 1, 2008)

We present a class of solvable $SO(D)$ symmetric matrix models with D bosonic matrices coupled to chiral fermions. The $SO(D)$ symmetry is spontaneously broken due to the phase of the fermion integral. This demonstrates the conjectured mechanism for the dynamical generation of four-dimensional space-time in the IIB matrix model, which was proposed as a nonperturbative definition of type IIB superstring theory in ten dimensions.

PACS numbers 11.30.Cp, 11.30.Qc, 11.25.-w

Introduction.— One of the biggest puzzles in superstring theory is that the space-time dimensionality which naturally allows a consistent construction of the theory is ten instead of four. A natural resolution of this puzzle is to consider our 4d space time to appear *dynamically* and the other 6 dimensions to become invisible due to some nonperturbative effects. This may be compared to the situation with QCD in the early 70's, where quarks were introduced to explain high-energy experiments, while the puzzle was that none of them has ever been observed in reality. The understanding of quark confinement as a nonperturbative phenomenon in non-Abelian gauge theories was important for QCD to be recognized as the correct theory of strong interaction. Likewise, we think it important to try to understand the puzzle of space-time dimensionality in terms of nonperturbative dynamics of superstring theory. Nonperturbative formulations of superstring/M theories proposed in Ref. [1,2] may play an analogous role as the lattice gauge theory.

The issue of the dynamical generation of space-time has been pursued [3–9] in the context of the IIB matrix model [2], which was proposed as a nonperturbative definition of type IIB superstring theory in ten dimensions. This model is a supersymmetric matrix model composed of 10 bosonic matrices and 16 fermionic matrices, and it can be thought of as the zero-volume limit of 10d $SU(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory [10]. The 10 bosonic matrices represent the dynamical space-time [3] and the model has manifest $SO(10)$ symmetry. Our four-dimensional space-time may be accounted for, if configurations with only four extended directions dominate the integration over the bosonic matrices. This in particular requires that the $SO(10)$ symmetry to be spontaneously broken. It is suggested that the phase of the fermion integral plays a crucial role in such a phenomenon [5,6,9].

In this Letter, we present a class of solvable $SO(D)$ symmetric matrix models, where D bosonic hermitian matrices are interpreted as the space-time. The models also include chiral fermions which yield complex fermion integrals as in the IIB matrix model. We study the $D = 4$ case explicitly and find that the $SO(4)$ symmetry is broken down to $SO(3)$; namely 3 dimensional space-time is

generated dynamically in the $SO(4)$ invariant model. If we replace the fermion integral by its absolute value, the model is still solvable and exhibits no SSB. This result clearly demonstrates the conjectured mechanism for the dynamical generation of space-time in the IIB matrix model.

The model.— The partition function of the model we consider is given by

$$Z = \int dA d\psi d\bar{\psi} e^{-(S_b + S_\psi)}, \quad (1)$$

$$S_b = \frac{1}{2} N \text{tr} (A_\mu^2) \quad (2)$$

$$S_\psi = -\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f (\Gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} A_\mu \psi_\beta^f, \quad (3)$$

where A_μ ($\mu = 1, \dots, D$) are $N \times N$ hermitian matrices and $\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f$, ψ_α^f are N -dimensional row and column vectors, respectively. (The system has an $SU(N)$ symmetry.) We assume that D is even, but we comment on a generalization to odd D later. The actions (2) and (3) are $SO(D)$ invariant, where the bosonic matrices A_μ transform as a vector, and the fermion fields $\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f$ and ψ_α^f transform as Weyl spinors. The spinor index α runs over $1, \dots, p$, where $p = 2^{D/2-1}$ is the dimension of the spinor space. The $p \times p$ matrices Γ_μ are the gamma matrices after Weyl projection. The flavour index f runs over $1, \dots, N_f$. We take the large N limit with $r \equiv N_f/N$ fixed (Veneziano limit) [15]. The fermionic part of the model can be thought of as the zero-volume limit of the system of Weyl fermions in D -dimensions interacting with a background gauge field via fundamental coupling.

Integrating out the fermion fields, one obtains

$$Z = \int dA e^{-S_b} (\det \mathcal{D})^{N_f}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a $pN \times pN$ matrix given by $\mathcal{D} = \Gamma_\mu A_\mu$. In $D = 2$, we find that $\det \mathcal{D}$ transforms under an $SO(2)$ transformation as $\det \mathcal{D} \mapsto e^{i\theta} \det \mathcal{D}$, where θ is the angle of rotation [16]. Hence the partition function (4) vanishes in this case [18]. In $D \geq 4$, $\det \mathcal{D}$ is $SO(D)$ invariant and so is the model.

The fermion determinant $\det \mathcal{D}$ is complex in general. Under parity transformation : $A_1^P = -A_D$ and $A_i^P = A_i$

for ($i \neq D$), it becomes complex conjugate. From this, it follows that $\det \mathcal{D}$ becomes real if $A_D = 0$, or more generally, if $n_\mu A_\mu = 0$ for some vector n_μ .

We interpret the D bosonic $N \times N$ hermitian matrices A_μ as the dynamical space-time as in the IIB matrix model [3]. The space-time has the Euclidean signature as a result of the Wick rotation, which is always necessary in path-integral formalisms. In the present model, we can obtain the extent of space time

$$R^2 \equiv \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} (A_\mu)^2 \right\rangle = D + rp \quad (5)$$

using a scaling argument for arbitrary N .

In order to probe the possible SSB of $\text{SO}(D)$, we first generalize the bosonic action as

$$S_b(\vec{m}) = \frac{1}{2} N \sum_\mu m_\mu \text{tr} (A_\mu^2) . \quad (6)$$

We calculate the extent in the μ -th direction

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\mu &= \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \text{tr} (A_\mu)^2 \right\rangle_{\vec{m}} \quad (\text{no summation over } \mu) \\ &= -\frac{2}{N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_\mu} \ln Z(\vec{m}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for arbitrary $\vec{m}$ in the large N limit. Then we take the limit of $m_\nu \rightarrow 1$ (for all ν) keeping the order

$$m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_D . \quad (8)$$

If λ_μ do not converge to the same value, it signals the SSB of $\text{SO}(D)$ symmetry.

The method.— The model (1) with the anisotropic bosonic action (6) can be solved in the large N limit by using a technique known from Random Matrix Theory [19]. Integrating out the bosonic matrices A_μ ,

$$Z \sim \frac{1}{N} \int d\psi d\bar{\psi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2N} S_{\text{Fermi}}\right) , \quad (9)$$

$$S_{\text{Fermi}} = (\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \psi_\beta^g) \Sigma_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} (\bar{\psi}_\gamma^g \psi_\delta^f) \quad (10)$$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} = \sum_\mu \frac{1}{m_\mu} (\Gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\delta} (\Gamma_\mu)_{\gamma\beta} . \quad (11)$$

The normalization factor $\mathcal{N}$ in (9) is given by

$$\mathcal{N} = \prod_\mu (m_\mu)^{N^2/2} . \quad (12)$$

Here and henceforth, we omit irrelevant $\vec{m}$ -independent factors in the partition function.

The four-fermi action (10) can be written as

$$S_{\text{Fermi}} = \Sigma_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} \left(\Phi_{\alpha\beta, fg}^{(+)} \Phi_{\gamma\delta, fg}^{(+)} - \Phi_{\alpha\beta, fg}^{(-)} \Phi_{\gamma\delta, fg}^{(-)} \right) , \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\alpha\beta, fg}^{(+)} &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \psi_\beta^g + \bar{\psi}_\alpha^g \psi_\beta^f) \\ \Phi_{\alpha\beta, fg}^{(-)} &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \psi_\beta^g - \bar{\psi}_\alpha^g \psi_\beta^f) . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The matrix Σ , where we consider $(\alpha\beta)$ and $(\gamma\delta)$ as single indices, is symmetric, and one can always make it real by choosing the representation of Γ_μ properly. Hence one can diagonalize it as

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} = \sum_{\rho\tau} O_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} \Lambda_{\rho\tau} O_{\gamma\delta, \rho\tau} , \quad (15)$$

and (13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{Fermi}} &= \sum_{\rho\tau} \Lambda_{\rho\tau} \left(\sum_{\alpha\beta} O_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} \Phi_{\alpha\beta, fg}^{(+)} \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\rho\tau} \Lambda_{\rho\tau} \left(\sum_{\alpha\beta} O_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} \Phi_{\alpha\beta, fg}^{(-)} \right)^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Each square in eq. (16) can be linearized by a Hubbard-Stratonovitch transformation according to

$$\exp(-AQ^2) \sim \int d\sigma \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{4A} - iQ\sigma\right) . \quad (17)$$

Introducing p^2 complex matrices $\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}$ of size N_f , we arrive at

$$Z \sim \frac{1}{N} \int d\hat{\sigma} d\psi d\bar{\psi} \exp(-NS_G + S_Q) \quad (18)$$

$$S_G = \text{Tr} (\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}) \quad ; \quad S_Q = \bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}^{fg} \psi_\beta^g , \quad (19)$$

where the $p N_f \times p N_f$ matrix $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta}^{fg} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\rho\tau} \sqrt{\Lambda_{\rho\tau}} O_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} (\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau} + \hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^\dagger)_{fg} . \quad (20)$$

The fermionic integration yields

$$Z \sim \frac{1}{N} \int d\hat{\sigma} \exp(-NW[\hat{\sigma}]) , \quad (21)$$

where the effective action $W[\hat{\sigma}]$ is given by

$$W[\hat{\sigma}] = S_G - \ln \det \mathcal{M} . \quad (22)$$

In the large N limit, the evaluation of the partition function amounts to solving the saddle-point equations, which are given by

$$(\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau})_{fg} = (\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^\dagger)_{fg} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\mathcal{M}^{-1})_{\beta\alpha}^{fg} \sqrt{\Lambda_{\rho\tau}} O_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} . \quad (23)$$

Assuming that the flavour $\text{SU}(N_f)$ symmetry is not broken, we set $\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau} = \sigma_{\rho\tau} \mathbb{1}$, where $\sigma_{\rho\tau} \in \mathbb{C}$. We can further take $\sigma_{\rho\tau}$ to be real, due to (23). Then the effective action reduces to

$$W = N_f \left\{ (\sigma_{\rho\tau})^2 - \ln \det M(\sigma) \right\} , \quad (24)$$

where the $p \times p$ matrix $M(\sigma)$ is given by

$$M_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{\rho\tau} \sqrt{\Lambda_{\rho\tau}} O_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} \sigma_{\rho\tau} . \quad (25)$$

Thus the problem reduces to a system of finite degrees of freedom.

Exact results in 4d.— Let us solve the saddle-point equations explicitly in the simplest case $D = 4$. We choose Γ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) to be Pauli matrices and $\Gamma_4 = i\mathbb{1}$. The matrix M is a 2×2 matrix

$$M(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a + ib & ic + d \\ ic - d & a - ib \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sqrt{\rho_4} \sigma_{11} & b &= \sqrt{\rho_3} \sigma_{22} \\ c &= \sqrt{\rho_1} \sigma_{12} & d &= \sqrt{\rho_2} \sigma_{21}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$\rho_\mu = \sum_\nu (-1)^{\delta_{\mu\nu}} (m_\nu)^{-1}. \quad (28)$$

The saddle-point equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{11} &= \Delta^{-1} \rho_4 \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} &= \Delta^{-1} \rho_1 \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} &= \Delta^{-1} \rho_2 \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} &= \Delta^{-1} \rho_3 \sigma_{22}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\Delta = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$. Eq. (29) implies that Δ should take one of the four possible values ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 and ρ_4 . In each case, the effective action is evaluated as $W = N_f(1 - \ln \Delta)$.

When the parameters $\vec{m}$ obey the order (8), the dominant saddle-point is given by $\Delta = \rho_4$. Thus the partition function can be obtained as

$$Z \sim \frac{1}{N} e^{N N_f \ln \rho_4}. \quad (30)$$

Using (7) we get

$$\lambda_\mu = (m_\mu)^{-1} \pm 2r \frac{1}{\rho_4} (m_\mu)^{-2}, \quad (31)$$

where the $\pm$ symbol should be $+$ for $\mu = 1, 2, 3$ and $-$ for $\mu = 4$. In the limit of $m_\nu \rightarrow 1$ (for all ν), one obtains

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1 + r; \quad \lambda_4 = 1 - r, \quad (32)$$

which means that the $\text{SO}(4)$ is spontaneously broken down to $\text{SO}(3)$. We note that $R^2 = \sum_\mu \lambda_\mu = 4 + 2r$ agrees with the finite N result (5). The SSB is associated with the formation of a condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \psi_\alpha^f \rangle$, which is invariant under $\text{SO}(3)$, but not under full $\text{SO}(4)$.

The phase of the determinant.— In order to clarify the role played by the phase of the determinant $\det \mathcal{D}$, let us consider the model

$$Z' = \int dA e^{-S_b} |\det \mathcal{D}|^{N_f}. \quad (33)$$

This model can be obtained by replacing half of the N_f Weyl fermions ψ in (1) by Weyl fermions χ with opposite chirality. Namely, eq. (33) can be rewritten as

$$Z' = \int dA d\psi d\bar{\psi} d\chi d\bar{\chi} e^{-(S_b + S_\psi + S_\chi)}, \quad (34)$$

$$S_\chi = -\bar{\chi}_\alpha^f (\Gamma_\mu^\dagger)_{\alpha\beta} A_\mu \chi_\beta^f. \quad (35)$$

We use a representation of gamma matrices in which Γ_i ($i = 1, \dots, (D-1)$) are hermitian and $\Gamma_D = i\mathbb{1}$. Note that the flavour index f now runs over $f = 1, \dots, N_f/2$.

We can solve the above model with the anisotropic bosonic action (6) in the large N limit using the same method as before. The four-fermi action reads

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\text{Fermi}} &= (\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \psi_\beta^g) \Sigma_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} (\bar{\psi}_\gamma^g \psi_\delta^f) + (\bar{\chi}_\alpha^f \chi_\beta^g) \Sigma_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} (\bar{\chi}_\gamma^g \chi_\delta^f) \\ &+ (\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \chi_\beta^g) \tilde{\Sigma}_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} (\bar{\chi}_\gamma^g \psi_\delta^f) + (\bar{\chi}_\alpha^f \psi_\beta^g) \tilde{\Sigma}_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} (\bar{\psi}_\gamma^g \chi_\delta^f), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}$ can be obtained from Σ by replacing m_D by $-m_D$. Similarly to (15), it can be diagonalized as

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} = \sum_{\rho\tau} \tilde{O}_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\rho\tau} \tilde{O}_{\gamma\delta, \rho\tau}. \quad (37)$$

In order to linearize (36), we have to introduce four sets of $\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}$ matrices, which we denote as $\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^\psi, \hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^\chi, \hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^S$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^A$. As before, we set $\hat{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^\psi = \sigma_{\rho\tau}^\psi \mathbb{1}$, where $\sigma_{\rho\tau} \in \mathbb{R}$, etc.. Introducing a new complex variable $\tilde{\sigma}_{\rho\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma_{\rho\tau}^S + i\sigma_{\rho\tau}^A)$, the effective action becomes

$$W' = \frac{N_f}{2} (S'_G - \ln \det M'), \quad (38)$$

$$S'_G = (\sigma_{\rho\tau}^\psi)^2 + (\sigma_{\rho\tau}^\chi)^2 + 2|\tilde{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}|^2 \quad (39)$$

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} M(\sigma^\psi) & \tilde{M}(\tilde{\sigma}) \\ \tilde{M}(\tilde{\sigma}^*) & M(\sigma^\chi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

The $p \times p$ matrices $M(\sigma^\psi)$ and $M(\sigma^\chi)$ are the same as (25) except that $\sigma_{\rho\tau}$ is replaced by $\sigma_{\rho\tau}^\psi$ and $\sigma_{\rho\tau}^\chi$, respectively. The new $p \times p$ matrix $\tilde{M}(\tilde{\sigma})$ is given by

$$\tilde{M}_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{\rho\tau} \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}_{\rho\tau}} \tilde{O}_{\alpha\beta, \rho\tau} \tilde{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}. \quad (41)$$

The set of solutions to the saddle-point equations is richer than before. There are solutions with $\tilde{\sigma}_{\rho\tau} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\rho\tau}^* = 0$. In this case, the problem reduces to the previous one. However, there is another class of solutions in which $\sigma_{\rho\tau}^\psi = \sigma_{\rho\tau}^\chi = 0$.

Let us consider the $D = 4$ case. The matrix $\tilde{M}$ is a 2×2 matrix

$$\tilde{M}(\tilde{\sigma}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} + i\tilde{b} & i\tilde{c} + \tilde{d} \\ i\tilde{c} - \tilde{d} & \tilde{a} - i\tilde{b} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} &= \sqrt{\rho} \tilde{\sigma}_{11} & \tilde{b} &= \sqrt{\rho_{34}} \tilde{\sigma}_{22} \\ \tilde{c} &= \sqrt{\rho_{14}} \tilde{\sigma}_{12} & \tilde{d} &= \sqrt{\rho_{24}} \tilde{\sigma}_{21}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where we have introduced the notations

$$\rho = \sum_\nu (m_\nu)^{-1}; \quad \rho_{\mu\lambda} = \sum_\nu (-1)^{\delta_{\mu\nu} + \delta_{\lambda\nu}} (m_\nu)^{-1}. \quad (44)$$

For the first class of solutions, the effective action at each saddle-point is given by $W' = N_f(1 - \ln \rho_\nu)$, where

$\nu = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For the second class of solutions, the saddle-point equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{11}^* &= \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \rho \tilde{\sigma}_{11} & \tilde{\sigma}_{12}^* &= \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \rho_{14} \tilde{\sigma}_{12} \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{21}^* &= \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \rho_{24} \tilde{\sigma}_{21} & \tilde{\sigma}_{22}^* &= \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \rho_{34} \tilde{\sigma}_{22} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and their complex conjugates, where $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{a}^2 + \tilde{b}^2 + \tilde{c}^2 + \tilde{d}^2$. Due to (45), $|\tilde{\Delta}|$ should take one of the four values ρ , ρ_{14} , ρ_{24} and ρ_{34} . In each case, the effective action is evaluated as $W' = N_f(1 - \ln |\tilde{\Delta}|)$.

Thus for arbitrary $\vec{m}$, we find that the dominant saddle-point is given by the second class of the solutions with $|\tilde{\Delta}| = \rho$ and the partition function is obtained as

$$Z' \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} e^{N N_f \ln \rho} \quad (46)$$

Using (7) we get

$$\lambda_\mu = (m_\mu)^{-1} + 2r \frac{1}{\rho} (m_\mu)^{-2} \rightarrow 1 + \frac{1}{2} r \quad (47)$$

in the limit of $m_\nu \rightarrow 1$ (for all ν), which means that $\text{SO}(4)$ is preserved. A nonvanishing condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}_\alpha^f \chi_\alpha^f + \bar{\chi}_\alpha^f \psi_\alpha^f \rangle$ breaks chiral symmetry, but not $\text{SO}(4)$.

Discussion.— An interesting feature of the exact result (32) is that λ_4 decreases linearly as $r \equiv N_f/N$ is increased. At $r = 1$ [20], λ_4 becomes zero, and the dynamical space-time becomes completely 3-dimensional. When $r > 1$, λ_4 becomes negative. This is possible because the VEV of a real positive observable is not necessarily real positive if the weight involves a phase.

One can generalize the model to odd D by considering Dirac fermions instead of Weyl fermions. In fact, such a model can be obtained from the even D model considered here by taking the $m_D \rightarrow \infty$ limit. The result for the 3d case can thus be read off from (31) as $\lambda_\mu = 1 + \frac{2}{3}r$ for all μ , which preserves the $\text{SO}(3)$ symmetry. We note that in the odd D models the fermion determinant for each flavour is real, but it is not necessarily positive. However, for even N_f one obtains a real positive weight, and for odd N_f the sign of the weight is independent of N_f . This explains the absence of SSB in the 3d model.

We think that our analytical results put the conjectured mechanism for the dynamical generation of space-time on firmer ground. The phase of the fermion integral favours lower dimensional configurations and as a result the space-time collapses in the large N limit. On the other hand, the actual dimensionality of the dynamical space-time in the IIB matrix model is yet to be determined. Unfortunately standard Monte Carlo simulation is difficult precisely due to the existence of the phase. However, we have recently proposed a new method to circumvent this problem [9]. Analytical approaches using approximations such as the one in Ref. [14] may also be useful. We hope that the models presented in this Letter will serve also as a testing ground for new ideas to understand this interesting phenomenon.

Acknowledgments.— The author would like to thank H. Kawai, F. Sugino and G. Vernizzi for discussions, which motivated this work. He is also grateful to J.J. Verbaarschot for correspondence on exact results in Random Matrix Theory.

* E-mail: nisimura@nbi.dk. On leave from: Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

- [1] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D 55**, 5112 (1997).
- [2] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B 498**, 467 (1997).
- [3] H. Aoki, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and T. Tada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **99**, 713 (1998).
- [4] T. Hotta, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B 545**, 543 (1999).
- [5] J. Nishimura and G. Vernizzi, J. High Energy Phys. **0004**, 015 (2000); Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 4664.
- [6] J. Ambjørn, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, W. Bietenholz, T. Hotta and J. Nishimura, JHEP **0007** (2000) 011.
- [7] P. Bialas, Z. Burda, B. Petersson and J. Tabaczek, Nucl. Phys. **B592** (2001) 391; Z. Burda, B. Petersson and J. Tabaczek, Nucl. Phys. **B 602**, 399 (2001).
- [8] J. Ambjørn, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, W. Bietenholz, F. Hofheinz and J. Nishimura, hep-th/0104260.
- [9] K.N. Anagnostopoulos and J. Nishimura, hep-th/0108041.
- [10] See also [11–14] for works on other dynamical aspects of the IIB matrix model, and its obvious generalizations to $D = 4$ and $D = 6$.
- [11] W. Krauth, H. Nicolai and M. Staudacher, Phys. Lett. **B431** (1998) 31.
- [12] J. Ambjørn, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, W. Bietenholz, T. Hotta and J. Nishimura, JHEP **0007** (2000) 013.
- [13] P. Austing and J.F. Wheeler, JHEP **0104**, 019 (2001).
- [14] F. Sugino, hep-th/0105284.
- [15] When $N_f = N$, the fermion fields ψ_α^f and $\bar{\psi}_\alpha^f$ can be written in terms of $N \times N$ fermionic matrices $(\Psi_\alpha)_{if}$ and $(\bar{\Psi}_\alpha)_{fi}$, so that the fermion action reads $S_\psi = -\text{tr}(\bar{\Psi}_\alpha \Gamma_\mu A_\mu \Psi_\alpha)$.
- [16] This breaking of $\text{SO}(2)$ symmetry, which actually comes from the fermion measure, has been observed recently in exact results of 2d $\text{U}(1)$ chiral gauge theories, where CPT invariance is also broken due to an anomaly [17].
- [17] F. R. Klinkhamer and J. Nishimura, Phys. Rev. **D 63**, 097701 (2001).
- [18] If we use the anisotropic bosonic action (6), the partition function of the $D = 2$ model can be calculated as $Z \sim \mathcal{N}^{-1} \{(m_1)^{-1} - (m_2)^{-1}\}^{rN^2/2}$ in the large N limit, where $\mathcal{N}$ is given by (12).
- [19] K.B. Efetov, Adv. Mod. Phys. **32** (1983) 53; J. J. Verbaarschot, H. A. Weidenmuller and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rept. **129**, 367 (1985).
- [20] According to [15], $r = 1$ is the case in which the 4d model becomes similar to the 4d version of the IIB matrix model concerning the number of degrees of freedom.